UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD907326
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Administrative/Operational Use; NOV 1972. Other requests shall be referred to Advanced Ballistic Missile Defense Agency, Huntsville, AL 35807.
AUTHORITY
ABMDA/AL ltr, 1 May 1974

MS-ABMDA-1683

Technical Report

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR SIZING
AND PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF
SOLID PROPELLANT ROCKET MOTORS

November 1972



BROWN ENGINEERING

BROWN ENGINEERING

RESEARCH PARK

HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35807

.(205) 536-4455:TWX (810) 726-2103

February 7, 1973

Distribution limited to U.S. Gov't, agencies only;

2 aluation; 1 2 FEB 1973. Other requests

on this document must be referred to

Director

Advanced Ballistic Missile Defense Agency / RDMH-C

Department of the Army

P. O. Box 1500

Huntsville, Alabama 35807

Attention:

RDMH-S/Mr. Carmichael

Subject:

Contract DAHC60-69-G=0037

Dear Sir:

In accordance with Sequence No. G003 of the DD Form 1423 for the subject contract, Teledyne Brown Engineering is submitting seven (7) copies of its Technical Report MS-ABMDA-1683, "Computer Program for Sizing and Performance Analysis of Solid Propellant Rocket Motors". This report was verbally approved. Distribution is being made per the DD Form 1423.

Sincerely,

BROWN ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

Martha H. Teenor

Contract Manager

MHT/wic

Enclosures

Distribution: See Page 2

Martha V. Seenar

DDC

| FEB 12 1973 | |

-

Advanced Ballistic Missile Defense Agency Attention: RDMH-S/Mr. Carmichael February 7, 1973 Page 2

Distribution:

Director

Advanced Ballistic Missile Defense Agency

Department of the Army Commonwealth Building 1320 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209

Attention: RDMD-AO (1 copy)

Defense Documentation Center

Cameron Station

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Attention: Documentation Center (2 copies)

Commanding General

U. S. Army Safeguard System Command

Department of the Army

P. © Box 1500

Huntsville, Alabama 35807

Attention: SSC-CS

(w/o copy)

Defense Contract Administration Services Office

Fifth Floor, Clinton Building 2109 West Clinton Avenue Huntsville, Alabama 35805

Attention: Mr. D. W. VanBrunt (w/o copy)

TECHNICAL REPORT MS-ABMDA-1683

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR SIZING AND PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF SOLID PROPELLANT ROCKET MOTORS

Ву

J. L. Thurman

November 1972

Prepared For

U.S. ARMY ADVANCED BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

Contract No. DAHC60-69-C-0037

Prepared By

MILITARY SYSTEMS
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

ABSTRACT

A FORTRAN digital computer program was developed which is generally applicable for preliminary design tradeoff analyses of solidpropellant rocket motors for a variety of applications. The program computes rocket motor length, propellant and inert component weights, mass fraction, burn time, specific impulse, ideal stage burnout velocity, and other performance parameters as a function of input motor diameter, chamber pressure, thrust, payload mass, propellant ballistic properties, propellant web fraction, port-to-throat area ratio, and other required parameters. Either conical or contoured nozzle exit geometries may be analyzed. Options are available for considering a head-end propellant web and inert residual slivers.

Approved:

Approved:

Manager

Manager

Missile Requirements and

Technology Branch-

System Synthesis Department

Approved:

Deputy

Program Management

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
1.	INTRO	DUCTION	1-1
2.	PROGR	RAM CAPABILITIES	2-1
3.	DESIG	N ANALYSIS	3-1
	3.1	Internal Ballistics	3-1
	3.2	Design of Inert Components	3-9
	3.3	Rocket Motor Performance Characterization	3-27
4.	PROGI	RAM APPLIĞATIĞN	4-1
	4.1	Methodology	4-1
	4.2	Sample Problem	4-4
5	PROGI	RAM OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS	5-1
	5.1	Input Data	5-1
	5.2	Stored Data:	5-5
-6.	REFEI	RENCES ,	6-1
APPEI	A XIDIX	- FORTRAN LISTING OF SIZING PROGRAM	A - 1
APPEI	NDIX B	- DESCRIPTION OF MISSILE OPTIMIZATION PROGRAM (MOP)	B-1
APPEI	-	- FORTRAN LISTING OF MISSILE OPTIMIZATION PROGRAM (MOP)	C-1:

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
3-1	Motor Case Nomenclature	3-10
3-2	Conical Nozzle Nomenclature	3-11
3-3	Contoured Nozzle Nomenclature	3-12
3-4	Equivalent Conical Nozzle Expansion Ratio as a Function of Contoured Nozzle Expansion Ratio	3 - 23
3-5	L _{cont} /L _{con} as a Function of Contoured Nozzle Expansion Ratio	3-2 <u>4</u>
4-1	General Arrangement of Loaded TX-354-3 Rocket Motor Chamber	4-5
4-2	TX-354-3 Rocket Nozzle Assembly	4-6
4-3	Sample Problem Solution	4-7
⁵ 5 ~ 1	Sample Input Coding Sheet	5-6-
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table	Title	Page
4-1	Program Application to Typical Design Problems	4-2
·5 ~ 1	Input Variable Definition for Cards 2 through 28	5-2
·5 - 2	Typical Materia! Physical Properties and Equivalent Program Variables	5-8

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	<u>Definition</u>
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{b}^{\pm}}$	Average burning surface area, in2
$A_{b_{\hat{\mathbf{C}}}}$	Average burning surface area within cylindrical length, in2
$\mathtt{A}_{\mathtt{b}_{\underline{h}}}$	Combined average burning surface area of forward and aft head-end propellant, in2
${\mathtt A}_{{\mathtt b} \dot{\mathtt o}}$	Thrust-to-weight ratio at burnout
A _e i	Nozzle exit area (inside), in2
$A_{\mathbf{f}}$	Cross sectional area corresponding to outside diameter of propellant, in2
A_{ign}	Thrust-to-weight ratio at ignition
$A_{p_{\epsilon}}$	Initial port area, in ²
Apt	Initial port-to-throat area ratio
Aş	Cross sectional area of residual sliver (active or inert), in ²
A _t	Nozzle throat area, in2
$A_{\mathbf{W}}$	Initial cross sectional area of propellant web, in2
a	Propellant burning rate coefficient
C ₁	Constant; 0.0163 for upper stage; 0.0055 for remaining stages
€ ^Ď	Mass discharge coefficient, lbm/lbf sec
$C_{\mathbf{f}}$	Thrust coefficient

Symbol	Definition
c i	Specific heat of insulation, Btu/lbm-°R
-C s	Specific heat of structural material, Btu/lbm-°R
D_{c_i}	Motor case inside diameter, in.
$\mathtt{D_{e}_{i}}$	Nozzle exit inside diameter, in.
$D_{e_{m}}$	Maximum nozzle exit outside diameter, in.
D_{e_0}	Nozzle exit outside diameter, in.
$\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{f}}$	Outside diameter of propellant charge, in.
$D_{\mathbf{m}}$	Motor case outside diameter, in.
$\dot{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{h_i}}$	Inside major axis of ellipsoidal forward head, in.
Ec	Young's modulus of motor case material, psi
Eint	Young's modulus of interstage structure, psi
Ēs	Young's modulus of nozzle throat structural shell, psi
${f E_t}$	Young's modulus of throat insert material, psi
F	Required thrust (input), lbf
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{p}}$	Fraction of propellant burned at web burn-through
F_{sm}	Motor case design safety factor
F_{sn}	Nozzle design safety factor
F_w	Propellant web fraction $(2\tau_{\rm w}/D_{\rm f})$
gc	Conversion constant, 32.174 lbm ft/lbf sec2

Symbol	Definition
gmaxi	Maximum longitudinal acceleration of stage i, g's
gmax _{i-1}	Maximum iongitudinal acceleration of stage i-1, g's
I_{sp_d}	Delivered specific impulse, lbf sec/lbm
k _t	Ratio of nozzle throat radius of curvature to throat radius
$\mathtt{L_{ah}}$	Length of aft head, in.
\mathtt{L}_{c}	Rocket motor cylindrical length, in.
L _{cont} /L _{con}	Ratio of contoured nozzle length to conical nozzle length
$\mathbf{L_{fh}}$	Length of forward head, in.
$\mathtt{L_{h_i}}$	Inside semiminor axis of ellipsoidal forward head, in.
Lho	Qutside semiminor axis of ellipsoidal forward head, in.
$_{ m lint}$	Interstage clearance between forward head of stage i and nozzle exit plane of stage (i +1), in.
$L_{\mathbf{m}}$	Rocket motor length, in.
$\mathtt{L_n}$	Nozzle length, in.
$\mathbf{L_{n_{i}+1}}$	Nozzle length of stage (i + la), in.
m	Mass flowrate, lbm/sec
n	Propellant burning rate exponent
Pamb	Ambient pressure, psia

Symbol	<u>Definition</u>
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{b}}$	Average propellant burning perimeter, in.
P _c	Average chamber pressure, psia
P_{D}	Design chamber pressure for structural analysis, psia
$\mathtt{P}_{\mathtt{D}_{\mathbf{x}}}$	Local design pressure, psia
P _e .	Nozzle exit pressure, psia
^R	Specific gas constant, ft lbf/lbm R
rat	Nozzle inside radius at exit cone tangent point (see Figure 3-2), in.
r _{b.}	Propellant burning rate, in/sec
r _c	Throat insert radius of curvature, in.
r _. e	Inside radius at nozzle exit plane, in.
^r ēš-	Inside radius of nozzle structure at aft end of throat insert, in.
r _{op}	Radius of motor case aft head opening, in.
r _{os} .	Inside radius of nozzle structure at throat, in.
<u>r</u> ot	Inside radius at nozzle entrance cone tangent point, in.
\mathbf{r}_{t}	Nozzle throat radius.in.
T _c	Propellant flame temperature, 2R
t	Insulation exposure time, sec

Symbol	Definition
t _b	Elapsed time between ignition and web burn- through, sec
$v_{\tilde{p}_{cw}}$	Volume of propellant within cylindrical length which is burned prior to web burnthrough, in3
v_{p_h}	Propellant volume in forward and aft heads, in3
$v_{p_{sc}}$	Total volume of propellant charge in cylindrical chamber (including slivers), in ³
$v_{\tilde{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{W}}}}$	Total volume of propellant which is burned prior to web burnthrough, in 3
$v_{\tilde{s}}$	Volume of residual slivers, in3
Wab	Weight of motor case aft head attachment boss, 1bm
Wahi	Weight of aft head insulation, Ibm
Wahs	Weight of aft head membrane structure, lbm
Was	Weight of aft head attachment skirt, Ibm
$W_{\bar{b}\bar{o}}$	Stage burnout weight, ibm
Wcl	Liner weight in cylindrical chamber, 1bm
W _C s	Weight of cylindrical shell, 1bm
W _{ēi} .	Weight of nozzle entrance insulation, lbm
W _{es}	Weight of structural material in nozzle entrance cone, ibm
Wfhs	Weight of forward head membrane structure, 1bm

Symbol	Definition
W_{fs}	Weight of forward head attachment skirt, lbm
w_{hi}	Weight of forward head insulation, 1bm
W _{ib}	Weight of ignitor boss, lbm
w_{ign}	Ignitor weight, 15m
Wint	Weight of interstage structure, 1bm
w_{IP}	Total weight of inert parts, 1bm
w_n	Total nozzle weight, 1bm
$W_{\mathbf{nb}}$	Weight of nozzle attachment boss (nozzle portion), ibm
Wo	Gross stage weight, 1bm
$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{p}}$	Propellant weight, 1bm
$W_{ t PL}$	Stage payload weight, lbm-
w_t	Weight of nozzle throat insert, lbm
w_{ti}	Weight of nozzle throat insulation, ibm
Wts	Weight of throat structural shell, lbm
$w_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}}$	Weight of nozzle exit cone insulation, 1bm
W_{xs}	Weight of nozzle exit cone structure, lbm
α	Nozzle exit cone half-angle, radians
$lpha_{\mathbf{e}}$	Contoured nozzle half-angle at exit plane, radians

	Symbol	<u>Definition</u>
?	$lpha_{f i}$	Thermal diffusivity of nozzle insulation, in2/sec
	$\alpha_{_{f O}}$	Contoured nozzle half-angle immediately down- stream of throat, radians
	β	Forward and aft head ellipse ratio
	Υ	Specific heat ratio of combustion gas
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ΔΤ	Predicted temperature rise of protected structural material, °R
	ΔV_{I}	Ideal velocity increment, ft/sec
	∵€	Nozzle expansion ratio
	-€ e	Equivalent conical nozzle expansion ratio for contoured nozzle analysis
	η_D	Mass discharge correction factor for nonideal flow
-	η_{v}	Exit velocity correction factor for nonideal flow
	¹ λ	Rocket motor mass fraction
v- ·	λ_n	Nozzle divergence loss factor
3	±μ	Stage mass fraction
	μ_s	Poisson's ratio of throat structural shell
1 -	_ε μt	Poisson's ratio of throat insert material
l.	-ф	Nozzle entrance cone half angle, radians
	.ψ	$\left[1 - \left(1/\tilde{\beta}^2\right)\right]$
***************************************	PA	Liner density, lbm=/in3

Symbol	Definition
$ ho_{\mathbf{i}}$	Insulation density, lbm/in3
ρ _m	Motor case density, 1bm/in ³
$\rho_{\mathbf{p}}$	Propellant density, lbm/in3
ρ _s	Density of structural material, lbm/in3
۰Pt	Density of throat insert, lbm/in3
$\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}$	Yield strength of motor case, psi-
$\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$	Yield strength of nozzle structural material, psi
τe	Wall thickness of nozzle entrance cone, in.
$ au_{f c}$	Liner thickness of cylindrical chamber, in.
$ au_{ m h}$	Forward head membrane thickness, in.
$ au_{\mathbf{i}}$	Insulation thickness, in.
^T ie	Insulation thickness in nozzle entrance cone, in.
$\tau_{i_{\mathbf{x}}}$	Insulation thickness at nozzle exit plane, in.
$ au_{ extbf{m}}$	Motor case wall thickness, in.
$ au_{ ext{mn}}$	Minimum wall thickness due to fabrication constraints, in.
$\tau_{ m n_e}$	Nozzle wâll thicknéss at exit plane, in.
$ au_{\mathbf{S}}$	Structural material thickness, in.
⁺ts	Thickness of throat structural shell, in.

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Concluded)

Symbol	<u>Definition</u>
$\hat{\tau}_{\mathbf{W}}$	Propellant web thickness, in.
τ _{xs}	Thickness of nozzle structure at aft end of throat insert, in.
· θ	Time constant $(\tau_i^2/\pi^2\alpha_i)$, sec

1. INTRODUCTION

Preliminary sizing analyses of aerospace vehicles which utilize solid propellant rocket motors usually require rather extensive trade-off analyses to ensure the near-optimal selection of pertinent motor design parameters. For multistage vehicles, the motor design analyses usually follow energy management studies (e.g., Ref. 1) which apportion propellant masses optimally among the constituent stages to achieve prescribed vehicle performance characteristics; e.g., to minimize gross weight for a required velocity increment or to maximize velocity for a fixed gross weight. The objective of this investigation was to develop a digital computer program which would permit rapid, accurate, preliminary design tradeoff analyses of solid propellant rocket motor concepts.

2. PROGRAM CAPABILITIES

The program described in this report computes rocket motor length, propellant and inert component weights, mass fraction, burn-time, specific impulse, stage burnout velocity, and other performance parameters as a function of input motor diameter, chamber pressure, thrust, payload mass, propellant ballistic properties, propellant web fraction, port-to-throat area ratio, residual sliver fraction, and other required parameters.

The generic representation of a selected propellant grain configuration by its web fraction, F_{W} , and residual sliver fraction, F_{D} , and a prescribed port-to-throat ratio, Apt, permits evaluation of the applicability of many candidate grain designs without requiring detailed surfaceweb calculations. This feature simplifies input preparation and enhances program flexibility for its intended use in conceptual design tradeoff analyses. Realistic values of Fw and Fp may be derived from known geometric characteristics of previously configured grain-cross sections (Refs. 2 and 3). All star, wagonwheel, cylindrical port, and slotted tube grain configurations wherein the propellant perforations traverse the entire cylindrical motor length are ideally suited for analysis using this technique. Tapered grain configurations and those which employ radial slots, or longitudinal slots which traverse only a fraction of the cylindrical motor length, may be analyzed provided a length-averaged propellant web fraction is entered. Ellipsoidal head-end propellant webs and inert propellant slivers may be included in the design analysis simply through the proper selection of input code words, as described later.

Dimensions and or weights of inert components, including the motor case, head closures, nozzle, attachment skirts, interstage

structure, liner, insulation, and igniter, are computed using proven theoretical and empirical relationships in conjunction with input material physical properties. Either conical or contoured nozzle designs may be analyzed. The nozzle expansion ratio is sized to provide as near optimum expansion as possible while maintaining the nozzle exit diameter less than the input maximum allowable value. (Optimum expansion occurs when the nozzle exit pressure is equivalent to the input ambient pressure.) The validity of the computer program was confirmed through the analytical reproduction of various rocket motor designs which are documented in Reference 4.

3. DESIGN ANALYSIS

3.1 INTERNAL BALLISTICS

The internal ballistics analysis described in this section is based on the assumption of constant mass flow rate throughout motor operation. The appropriate gas dynamic relationships for combustion gas flow within the motor port and nozzle are based on the assumption of one-dimensional flow of a perfect gas. Most of the applicable thermodynamic relationships may be found in standard propulsion textbooks; for example, Reference 5. Following program initialization and the reading of appropriate input parameters, the rocket motor design analysis proceeds as described in the following paragraphs.

The required thickness of the motor case wall is sized using the well-known hoop stress relationship

$$\tau_{\rm m} = \frac{P_{\rm c} \, D_{\rm m} \, F_{\rm sm}}{2\sigma_{\rm m}} \quad . \tag{3-1}$$

The independent variables P_c , D_m , and F_{sm} are program inputs. The yield strength of the motor case, σ_m , and most of the other required inert component physical properties discussed later are specified within the program in well-defined DATA statements, as described in Section 5.2. This method of specifying material properties provides flexibility for analyzing various candidate materials (at the expense of program recompilation for each DATA statement alteration) while reducing the number of inputs required for normal design analyses. The case wall thickness computed from Equation 3-1 is compared with a specified minimum wall thickness based on fabrication limitations, and the larger value is employed in further calculations.

Following an initial estimation of the required cylindrical case liner thickness, τ_{kc} , the outer diameter of the propellant charge is computed from

$$D_{f} = D_{m} - 2 (\tau_{m} + \tau_{\ell_{c}}) \qquad (3-2)$$

The final design value of liner thickness is determined through iteration using an empirical relationship which is described later.

Equation 3-2 begins an iteration wherein the nozzle expansion ratio, cylindrical case liner thickness, and propellant geometrical characteristics are established. An attempt is first made to size the nozzle for optimum expansion such that the nozzle exit pressure is equivalent to the input ambient pressure. If this is not feasible within the constraints of the input maximum nozzle exit diameter, D_{e_m} , and the thrust-dictated throat area requirement, the nozzle design which most nearly approaches optimum expansion within these constraints is established. In the latter situation, the nozzle exit pressure is always greater than ambient; i.e., the nozzle is underexpanded. As a first trial estimate, the nozzle exit pressure, P_e , is set equal to the input ambient pressure P_{amb} . The corresponding nozzle expansion ratio, ϵ , is then determined from the relationship

$$\epsilon = \left[\left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma} - 1} \left(\frac{P_e}{P_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left\{ \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_e}{P_c} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}} \right] \right\}^{0.5} \right]^{-1} . \quad (3-3)$$

The thrust coefficient, C_f , is computed from

$$C_{f} = \lambda_{n} \eta_{D} \eta_{v} \left\{ \frac{2\gamma^{2}}{\gamma - 1} \left(\frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_{e}}{P_{c}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}} \right] \right\}^{0.5}$$

$$+ \frac{(P_{e} - P_{amb}) \epsilon}{P_{c}} . \tag{3-4}$$

The divergence loss factor, λ_n , is defined as

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \qquad (3-5)$$

For analysis of contoured nozzles using this program, an effective exit half-angle of 17.5 degrees should be input, as described later. The nozzle discharge correction factor, η_D , is usually greater than unity, as discussed in Reference 6, but may range from 0.98 to 1.15. (A value of 1.02 is typical.) The velocity correction factor, η_V , ranges between 0.85 and 0.98, with an average near 0.92 (Ref. 6).

The required nozzle throat area is established by

$$\hat{A}_{t} = F/(\hat{P}_{c} C_{f}) . \qquad (3-6)$$

The corresponding nozzle exit area, Aei, is computed from

$$A_{ei} = A_t \epsilon . (3-7)$$

The required nozzle wall thickness at the exit plane (τ_{n_e}) is sized from the hoop stress relation

$$\tau_{n_e} = \frac{P_e \ D_{ei} \ F_{sn}}{2\sigma_n} \quad . \tag{3-8}$$

The variable F_{sn} is a program input, and σ_n is specified within the program by a DATA statement. Again, the computed wall thickness from Equation 3-8 is compared with a specified minimum thickness based on fabrication constraints, and the larger value is selected for further calculations. The outside diameter at the nozzle exit plane is then computed from

$$D_{e_0} = D_{ei} + 2(\tau_{ne} + \tau_{ix})$$
 (3-9)

where τ_{ix} , the insulation thickness at the nozzle exit plane, is computed using procedures described later in this section (Equation 37). If the computed outside exit diameter is less than the input maximum value, the design analysis continues with the computed value. Moreover, if this test is satisfied on the first iteration, with $P_e = P_{amb}$, the nozzle is designed for optimum expansion. If, however, the computed outside exit diameter exceeds the input maximum value, the exit pressure estimate is increased slightly and the analysis returns to Equation 3-3. The iteration continues until a satisfactory exit diameter and corresponding exit pressure and expansion ratio are obtained.

Following the establishment of the nozzle throat and exit areas, the internal ballistics analysis and propellant geometrical characterization proceed. The mass discharge coefficient is computed from

$$C_{D} = \eta_{D} \left[\frac{g_{C} \gamma}{R T_{C}} \left(\frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}} \right]^{0.5}$$
 (3-10)

The independent variables γ , R, T_c are program inputs. The average mass flow rate, \dot{m} , discharged by the nozzle is computed from

$$\dot{m} = G_D P_c A_t . \qquad (3-11)$$

This discharged mass flowrate must equal the rate of mass generation at the propellant burning surface, which is determined from

$$\dot{m} = r_b A_b \rho_b \tag{3-12}$$

where the average propellant burning rate is governed, for nonerosive flow conditions, by the well-known relation.

$$r_b = aP_c^n$$
 (3-13)

Equation 3-11) to the mass discharge rate (Equation 3-11) to the mass discharge rate (Equation 3-10) yields, after rearrangement, the following relationship for computing the required average burning surface area:

$$A_b = \frac{GDP_cA_t}{r_b\rho_p} \qquad (3-14)$$

The initial motor port area is established by the input port-tothroat area ratio and the previously computed throat area

$$A_{p} = A_{pt} A_{t} . \qquad (3-15)$$

The initial port-to-throat area ratio, A_{pt} , must be input as unity or greater to assure a nonerosive flow condition inside the motor port.

For tapered grains, A_{pt} is the length-averaged value. The cross sectional area, A_{w} , of the propellant web at ignition is computed from the geometric relationship

$$A_w = (A_f - A_p) F_p$$
 (3-16)

where F_p is the fraction of propellant burned prior to web burnthrough. Values of F_p usually range from 0.9 to 1.0 (Refs. 2 and 3). The corresponding area, A_s , of residual slivers (active or inert) remaining after web burnthrough is established by the expression

$$A_s = A_f - A_w - A_p$$
 (3-17)

The propellant web thickness is determined from

$$\tau_{\rm W} = \frac{D_{\rm f.} E_{\rm W}}{2} \tag{3-18}$$

As described previously, F_w represents the input propellant web fraction. Values of F_w typically range from 0.2 to 0.5 for star or wagonwheel designs and from 0.28 to 0.9 for slotted-tube designs. Star and wagonwheel configurations are usually employed with slow or medium burning rate propellants where relatively small burn times and

L

large thrust levels are required. Slotted tube configurations yield large motor mass fractions; they are usually employed with relatively slow burning propellants for long burn time, low thrust applications or with fast burning propellants for short burn time, high thrust applications.

The motor burn time is computed from

$$t_b = \frac{\tau_W}{r_b} . \qquad (3-1.9)$$

At this point in the analysis, the required liner thickness adjacent to the cylindrical case wall, $\tau_{\hat{L}_{C}^{-}}$, may be recalculated more accurately using the proven empirical expression developed by Thiokol Chemical Corporation (Ref. 7).

$$\tau_{\underline{\ell}_{c}} = 0.02 D_{m}^{0.5} (t_{b} + 1)^{0.1} (1 + F_{p})^{0.25}$$
 (3-20)

The liner thickness computed from Equation 3-20 is compared with the previously estimated value used in Equation 3-2. If the computed liner thickness differs by more than a specified amount from the previous estimate, the analysis returns to Equation 3-2, with the computed value used as the new estimate. This iteration continues until the computed and estimated values converge.

The next step in the analysis is the determination of the required propellant volume and corresponding motor length. Before motor length may be computed, the amount of propellant must be evaluated which may be loaded into the available forward and aft head-end volumes, if head-end webs are desirable. (The computer program is formulated such that the analysis of head-end webs is optional.) For cases in which a forward head-end web analysis is requested, a

fractional ellipsoidal propellant volume, conforming to the head closure shape, is considered. A cylindrical perforation is allowed for protrusion of the ignitor through the head-end web. The analysis of the propellant in the propellant volume in the aft head is similar to that for the forward head, except the cross-sectional area of the center perforation is equivalent to the port area.

Following evaluation of the combined forward and aft head-end propellant volume, V_{p_h} , and corresponding average burning surface, A_{b_h} , if applicable, the required average burning surface, A_{b_c} , within the cylindrical motor length is computed from

$$A_{bc} = A_b - A_{bh}$$
 (3-21)

The required total volume of the propellant charge, $V_{\tilde{p}_{SC}}$, including residual slivers (active or inert), in the cylindrical portion may now be computed from the geometrical relationship

$$V_{p_{sc}} = \frac{\tau_w A_{bc}}{F_{p}} \qquad (3-22)$$

Likewise, the volume of propellant, $V_{p_{cw}}$, within the cylindrical length which is burned before web burnthrough is determined from

$$V_{p_{cw}} = V_{p_{sc}} F_{p}$$
 (3-23)

The total volume of propellant, $V_{p_{\hat{W}}}$, which is burned before web burnthrough is determined by the sum

$$V_{p_{w}} = V_{p_{cw}} + V_{p_{h}}$$
 (3-24)

and the volume of residual slivers, Vs, is computed from the geometrical relationship

$$V_s = V_{p_{sc}} (1 - F_p)$$
. (3-25)

Because of the simple propellant geometry selected for the head-end web, residual slivers there will be negligible.

The required cylindrical length of the motor, \mathbf{L}_{C} , may now be computed from

$$L_c = V_{p_{cw}} / A_w$$
 (3-26)

The propellant and residual sliver weights are evaluated by multiplying the previously computed volumes by their respective densities. For active slivers, the propellant density is used, whereas for inext slivers a density of 0.02 lbm/in³, which is typical of conventional phenolic/microballoon-filler material is used.

3.2 DESIGN OF INERT COMPONENTS

Sufficient information is now available to permit computation of weights and dimensions of the remaining constituent inert components of the rocket motor. These calculations are performed within the computer program by Subroutines INERT, NOZZ, and INSUL. In the interest of brevity, only the final formulations of the pertinent working equations will be presented herein. The reader is referred to the cited references for the appropriate derivations. The nomenclature for the type of motor case considered herein is defined in Figure 3-1. Also, the nomenclature which applies to the conical and contoured nozzle analyses is defined in Figures 3-2 and 3-3, respectively.




FIGURE 3-1. MOTOR CASE NOMENCLATURE





FIGURE 3-2. CONICAL NOZZLE NOMENCLATURE



1.00

FIGURE 3-3. CONTOURED NOZZLE NOMENCLATURE

3.2.1 Forward Head Membrane

The required thichness, τ_h , of the forward head membrane is sized by the resultant of the meridional and tangential stresses as discussed in Reference 8. Thus,

$$\tau_{h} = \frac{0.177 P_{D} D_{m}^{-2}}{L_{h_{0}} \sigma_{m}}$$
 (3-27):

The design chamber pressure, P_D , is taken as 1.5 times the average chamber pressure. The value of L_{h_0} is dictated by the input motor diameter and the head-end ellipse ratio, β , which typically ranges from 1.0 to 2.0. The values of the inside major axis, D_{h_1} , and semi-minor axis, L_{h_1} , are determined from the input motor diameter, ellipse ratio, and membrane thickness. The weight of the forward head-membrane structure is then computed from

$$W_{fhs} = 0.167 \rho_{m\pi} \left(E_{h_0} D_{m}^2 - E_{h_i} D_{h_i}^2 \right)$$
 (3-28)

3.2.2 Forward Head Insulation

The weight of the forward head insulation is computed from the following empirical relationship (Ref. 9):

$$W_{hi} = 1.93 (10^{-10}) D_{m}^{2}$$

$$\times \left(0.7854 + \frac{0.3925}{\beta^{2} \psi} \ln \frac{1 + \psi}{1 - \psi}\right) P_{D}^{0.8} t_{b} C_{D}^{-1.7} .$$
(3-29)

where

$$\psi = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\right)^{1/2} \tag{3-29a}$$

3.2.3 Ignitor Boss

The weight of the ignitor boss, W ib, on the forward head is estimated from the empirical expression (Ref. 9):

$$W_{ib} = 1.413^{\circ}P_{D} \quad D_{im} \quad \beta \quad A_{t} \quad \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{\sigma_{m}}\right)$$

3.2.4 Ignitor

The weight of the ignitor, Wign, is estimated from the follow-ing empirical relationship which was derived through correlation of reported ignitor weights (Ref. 4) for previously designed and fabricated rocket motors:

$$W_{ign} = 10 + e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \left[0.49 \cdot (10^{-4}) A_b \right].$$
 (3-31)

Equation 3-31 was found to produce an excellent fit of reported igniter weight data for rocket motors with an average burning surface area ranging between 3500 and 44000 in 2.

3.2.5 Cylindrical Case Structure

The weight of the cylindrical portion of the motor-case structure, W_{CS}, is computed from the relationship

$$W_{cs} = \frac{\pi}{4} \rho_{m} L_{c} \left(D_{m}^{2} - D_{c}^{2} \right)$$
 (3-32)

3.2.6 Cylindrical Case Liner

The weight of the liner adjacent to the cylindrical motor case. W_{cf} , is determined from

$$W_{cl} = \pi \hat{D}_{c_i} L_c T_{l_ic} \rho_l$$
 (3-33)

For the computer program described herein, a typical liner density, ρ_{I} , of 0.06 lbm/in³ is employed

3.2.7 Aft Head Membrane

The weight of the aft head membrane structure, wahs, is estimated from the following empirical relationship (Ref. 9):

$$W_{ahs} = 0.25 P_D D_m \beta \begin{pmatrix} \rho_m \\ \bar{\sigma}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

3.2.8 Aft Head Insulation

The weight of the aft head insulation, Wahi, is scaled as 1.54 times that of the previously computed forward head insulation as recommended by Reference 9.

3.2.9 Aft Head Nozzle Attachment

The weight of the aft head nozzle attachment boss, Wab, is estimated using the following relationship (Ref. 9):

$$W_{ab} = 10.26 P_{D} \tilde{D}_{m}^{2} \beta \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{\sigma_{m}}\right)$$
 (3-35)

3.2.10 Nozzle

Either conical or contoured nozzle designs may be analyzed using this program. Conical nozzles of the type illustrated in Figure 3-2 are designed using nondocumented procedures developed by Mr. A. R. Maykut of the U.S. Army Missile Command (MICOM) at Redstone Arsenal. The validity of these procedures has been proven at Redstone Arsenal through numerous design analyses and reproductions of previous operational nozzle designs. Contoured nozzle length and weight, when applicable, are scaled from a corresponding design of a conical nozzle with equivalent throat area and fixed exit cone half-angle of 17.5 degrees using procedures described in Reference 10. A more detailed description of the contoured nozzle scaling procedure is presented later.

Discussing first the conicul nozzle analysis, the entrance wall thickness, τ_e , of the nozzle at the aft head attachment radius is computed from

$$\tau_{e} = \frac{r_{op} P_{D}}{\sigma_{n} \cos \phi}$$
 (3-36)

The parameter r_{op} usually is governed by the size of the aft head opening which is required for insertion and extraction of the propellant mandrel. For this computer program, the nozzle entrance cone half-angle, ϕ , is fixed at 60 degrees.

The thickness computed by Equation 3-36 is compared with a specified minimum value which is dictated by fabrication constraints, and the larger of the two values is used in further calculations.

The next step in the nozzle analysis is the determination of the required insulation thickness at the nozzle entrance. The procedure which is employed in sizing the insulation thickness is described in Reference 5. A thermally thin structural wall is assumed to be protected from the combustion gases by a refractory insulation. The temperature of the exposed insulation surface is assumed to be equivalent to that of the adjacent combustion gases, T_c , and the temperature rise of the protected structure during motor operation is assumed to be much smaller than T_c . The predicted temperature rise of the structural wall during time t is computed from the general expression

$$\Delta T = \frac{c_i \rho_i \tau_i}{c_s \rho_s \tau_s} T_c f \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)$$
 (3-37)

where θ is the time constant $(\tau_i^2/\pi^2 \alpha_i)$. The function $f(t/\theta)$ is reported in Reference 5 as

$$f\left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right) = \frac{t}{\pi^2 \theta} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{6} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} \exp \left[-n^2 + \frac{t}{\theta}\right]$$
 (3-38)

Equation 3-37 cannot be solved directly for τ_i because of the implicit relationship of τ_i with the series function $f(t/\theta)$. Therefore, an iterative solution is accomplished in which Equation 3-37 is solved repetitively to compute values of predicted temperature rise for progressively improved estimates of τ_i . The least value of τ_i for which the predicted structural temperature rise is maintained below a specified limit of $10^{\circ}R$ is selected for design application.

The first 20 terms are utilized in the series evaluation of $f(t/\theta)$. Ablation of the insulation is not accounted for in the determination of the required insulation thickness. Therefore, the selected thickness should be conservative. An approximate, but nonconservative, means of accounting for ablation would be to substitute the insulation ablation temperature for T_c in Equation 3-37.

The weight of insulation in the nozzle entrance cone, Wei, is computed from

$$W_{ei} = \frac{\pi \rho_i}{\sin \phi} \left(r_{op} + \tau_{ie} \cos \phi + r_{ot} \right) \left(r_{op} - r_{ot} \right) \tau_{ie} . \qquad (3-39)$$

The weight of the nozzle entrance cone structural material, Wes, is computed from

$$W_{es} = \frac{\pi \rho_s}{\sin \phi} \left[r_{op} + \left(\tau_{ie} + \frac{\tau_e}{2} \right) \cos \phi + r_{ot} \right] \left(r_{op} - r_{ot} \right) \tau_e . \quad (3-40)$$

The weight of the nozzle throat insulation is computed from the relation

$$W_{ti} = \pi \rho_{i} \tau_{ie} \left[r_{ot} + \tau_{ie} \left(\cos \phi + \cos \alpha \right) + r_{at} \right] \left(2k_{t} r_{t} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\phi + \alpha}{2} \right) . (3-41)$$

The thickness of the throat structural shell, τ_{ts} , is sized from the following expression which accounts for the reduction in pressure loading of the structural shell due to the load carried by the throat insert material:

$$\tau_{ts} = \frac{r_{os}^2}{r_{ot}} \frac{P_{D}}{\sigma_n} \frac{E_t}{E_s} \left(\frac{1 - \mu_s}{1 - \mu_t}\right) \qquad (3-42)$$

The design pressure P_D in Equation 3-42 should rigorously be based upon a gas pressure whose value lies between the expected values at the throat and in the combustion chamber, since the inner surface of the throat insert is exposed to pressures in this range. However, for design conservatism, the value of P_D used in this program is computed as 1.5 times the chamber pressure. The corresponding weight of the throat structural shell, W_{ts}, is determined from

$$W_{ts} = \pi \tau_{ts} \rho_{s} \left[r_{ot} + \tau_{ie} \left(\cos \phi + \cos \alpha \right) + r_{at} + \frac{\tau_{ts}}{2} \left(\cos \phi + \cos \alpha \right) \right]$$

$$\times 2 k_{t} r_{t} \sin \left(\frac{\phi + \alpha}{2} \right). \tag{3-43}$$

From geometrical considerations, the weight of the throat insert, Wt, is established as

$$W_{t} = \pi \rho_{t} k_{t}^{2} r_{t}^{3} \left[\phi + \alpha - \sin \left(\phi + \alpha \right) \right]_{t}^{2}$$

$$\times \left\{ \left(1 + k_{t} \right) - \left[\frac{0.667 k_{t} \sin^{3} \left(\frac{\phi + \alpha}{2} \right)}{\phi + \alpha - 0.5 \sin \left(\phi + \alpha \right)} \right] \cos \left(\frac{\phi + \alpha}{2} \right) \right\} . \tag{3-44}$$

The thickness of the exit cone structure at the downstream end of the nozzle throat insert, τ_{xs} , is sized from

$$\tau_{xs} = \frac{P_{x}}{\frac{P_{x}}{\sigma_{xs}} \cos \alpha} \cdot (3-45)$$

The design pressure P_{D_X} is defined as 1.5 times the local pressure in the nozzle exit cone. The structural thickness computed from Equation 3-45 is compared with a specified minimum value which is dictated by fabrication constraints, and the larger of the two values is selected for design application. The corresponding weight of the exit cone structure, W_{XS} , is computed from

į

$$W_{xs} = \frac{\pi}{3} \rho_{s} \cot \alpha \left(r_{e} - r_{at} \right) \left\{ \left[r_{at} + \left(r_{ie} + r_{xs} \right) \cos \alpha \right]^{2} + \left[r_{at} + \left(r_{ie} + r_{xs} \right) \cos \alpha \right] \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} + r_{mn} \right) + \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} + r_{mn} \right)^{2} - \left(r_{at} + r_{ie} \cos \alpha \right)^{2} - \left(r_{at} + r_{ie} \cos \alpha \right) \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} \right) - \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} \right)^{2} \cdot \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} \right) \right\} \cdot \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} \right) \left(r_{e} + r_{ie} \right) \cdot \left($$

The weight of the nozzle exit cone insulation, W is determined from

$$W_{xi} = \pi \tau_{ie} \rho_{i} \operatorname{ctn} \alpha \left(r_{at} + \tau_{ie} + r_{e} \right) \left(r_{e} - r_{at} \right) . \tag{3-47}$$

The weight of the attachment boss, Wind, which is an integral part of the nozzle structure, is estimated from

$$W_{\bar{n}b} = 18 (\pi \cdot r_{op} + r_{op} +$$

From the previously-computed nozzle-component weights, the total nozzle weight, W, is computed as the sum

$$W_{n} = W_{ei} + W_{es} + W_{ti} + W_{ts} + W_{t} + W_{xs} + W_{nb} + W_{nb}$$
 (3-49)

The nozzle length, L_n , for conical entrance and exit geometries, may be computed from

Entrance Throat Exit
$$L_{n} = (r_{op} - r_{ot}) \cot \phi + r_{t} k_{t} (\sin \phi + \sin \alpha) + (r_{e} - r_{at}) \cot \alpha . \quad (3-50)$$

Equations 3-36 through 3-50 were derived for nozzles with conical entrance and exit geometries.

However, most modern-day rocket motors which are designed for flight operation employ nonconical contours which are optimized for improved performance and reduced length. Because of the difficulty associated with detailed design of a contoured nozzle, the simplified empirical procedure described in Reference 10 for approximating contoured nozzle weight and length from a corresponding conical nozzle design was employed in this program.

To calculate contoured nozzle weight using the previously described approximate method, the following assumptions are made:

- The weights of conical and contoured nozzles are identical from the forward attachment boss to an expansion ratio downstream of the throat of 3.0 for nozzles of equal throat area. (Nozzle shape within this region is relatively independent of downstream shape.)
- The nozzle weights downstream of an exit expansion ratio of 3.0 are identical for contoured and conical nozzles of equal surface area.

The two types of nozzles are assumed to have identical throat areas, chamber presures, burning durations, and propellant flame temperature. The family of contoured nozzles for which this procedure applies is described by O. J. Demuth in Reference 11.

To determine the conical nozzle surface area which is equivalent to that of a contoured nozzle having the same throat area, an equivalent conical nozzle expansion ratio, ϵ_e , is derived from previaously computed contoured nozzle data. This equivalent expansion ratio is defined as that of a conical nozzle with a 17.5-degree exit cone half-angle which has the same surface area as a particular contoured nozzle of the same throat area. Contoured nozzle weights are then computed from the previously described conical nozzle equations (Equations 3-36 through 3-50) when the applied value of the inside radius of the throat exit plane, r_e , is based on the equivalent expansion ratio, ϵ_e , and the input nozzle exit cone half-angle is taken as 17.5 degrees. The curve of ϵ_e as a function of ϵ (Ref. 10), which is incorporated into this computer program, is presented in Figure 3-4. Figure 3-4 applies for a Demuth-type contoured nozzle with an initial divergence half-angle (immediately downstream of the throat) of 32.5 degrees and an expansion ratio at the point of parallel flow of 30. A review of previously designed contoured nozzles (Ref. 4) revealed that this particular exit shape is generally applicable for relatively large tactic-cal rocket motors. Of course, flight nozzles are truncated at expansion ratios slightly less than that required for parallel flow with little performance penalty. Additionally, Figure 3-4 is based on a ratio of combustion gas specific heats of 1.2 and a ratio of throat radius of curvature to throat radius of 1.2.

Direct calculation of the length of a contoured nozzle is again quite difficult. To simplify the calculations in this program, contoured nozzle length is related empirically to a 17.5-degree conical nozzle of the same throat area and expansion ratio. In determining contoured nozzle length, the length from the throat to the exit plane is first calculated as for a 17.5-degree conical nozzle. This length is then multiplied by an empirically derived factor, L_{cont}/L_{con} , for the appropriate contoured nozzle expansion ratio. The curve of L_{cont}/L_{con} as a function of ϵ (Ref. 10), which is employed in the computer program described herein, is presented in Figure 3-5. The curve shown in Figure 3-5 is for the same Demuth-type nozzle shape as discussed previously for nozzle weight calculations. The corrected length between the throat and the nozzle exit plane is then added to the entrance length, between the forward attachment boss and the throat, to determine the total contoured nozzle length.




FIGURE 3-4. EQUIVALENT CONICAL EXPANSION RATIO AS A FUNCTION OF CONTOURED NOZZLE EXPANSION RATIO




FIGURE 3-5. Lacont/L AS A FUNCTION OF CONTOURED NOZZLE EXPANSION RATIO

The previously described procedure could be extended for analyzing other Demuth-type exit shapes, with different values of α_i and ϵ for parallel flow, by incorporating additional curves of ϵ_e and $L_{cont} / \frac{L_{con}}{L_{con}}$ as a function of ϵ from Reference 10.

3.2.11 Motor Attachment Skirts

The weight of the forward attachment skirt, Wfs, is estimated from the following relationship recommended by Reference 9:

$$W_{fs} = C_1 D_{m}^{2} \left\{ \frac{g_{max_i} W}{E_c} \left[\frac{0.215 (L_c + D_m/\beta)}{D_m} + 1 \right] \right\}^{0.5}$$
(3-51)

The maximum-longitudinal acceleration of the stage under consideration, g_{max_i} , is assumed to occur at stage burnout;

$$g_{\text{max}} = F/W_{\text{bo}}$$
 (3-52)

Now, at this stage of the analysis, W bo is unknown, since its value depends uponethe undetermined weights of the attachment skirts and interstage structure. However, these weights are usually relatively small in comparison with the remaining inert component weights.

Therefore, an iterative solution is accomplished in which g is first estimated using a value of W bo which includes only the previously computed weights of the motor case, nozzle, ignitor, liner, insulation, and the stage payload. This value of g is utilized in the calculation of the attachment skirt and interstage weights. The value of g is then recalculated using the estimated skirt and interstage weights included in W bo. This iteration is continued until the

computed values of Wbo (or g_{maxi}) on successive iterations are equivalent within a specified tolerance. At this point, the correct values of the attachment skirt and interstage weights are considered to be established.

The weight of the aft attachment skirt, Was, is estimated from

$$W_{as} = 0.0055 D_{m}^{2} \left\{ \frac{g_{max_{i-1}} W_{o}}{E_{c}} \right.$$

$$\times \left[\frac{0.215 \left(L_{c} + \frac{D_{m}}{\beta} \right)}{D_{m}} + 1 \right]^{0.5}$$

In the evaluation of W_{as} , the value of $g_{max_{i-1}}$ must be estimated from a separate analysis of stage i-1, and W_0 is estimated as part of the previously described iteration on W_{bo} .

3.2.12 Interstage Structure

The interstage structural weight, Wint, is estimated, when required, from the following relationship which is recommended by Reference 9:

$$W_{int} = 0.155 D_{m} \left(\frac{D_{m}}{\beta} + L_{n_{i+1}} + L_{int} \right)$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{g_{max_{i}} W_{PL}}{E_{int}} \left[\frac{0.215 \left(L_{c} + \frac{D_{m}}{\beta} \right)}{D_{m}} + 1 \right] \right\}^{0.5}$$

The evaluation of g_{max_i} and W_{int} is included in the previously described iteration on W_{bo} .

3.2.13 Rocket Motor Length

Rocket motor length between the nozzle exit plane and the forward head, L_m, is computed by summing the constituent lengths.

$$L_{\rm m} = L_{\rm fh} + L_{\rm c} + L_{\rm ah} + L_{\rm n}$$
 (3-55)

The length of the forward head, Lfh, is determined from

$$L_{\rm fh} = D_{\rm m}/2\beta \tag{3-56}$$

and the length of the aft head, Lah, is computed from

$$L_{ah} = \frac{D_m}{2\beta} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2r_{op}}{D_m} \right)^2 \right]^{0.5}$$
 (3-57)

ROCKET MOTOR PERFORMANCE CHARACTERIZATION

Sufficient preliminary design information has now been computed from the previously described analysis to permit prediction of rocket motor performance. After setting the sum of the previously computed inert component weights equal to WIP, the gross stage weight, Wo, is computed from

$$W_0 = W_{IP} + W_{PL} + W_{p}$$
 (3-58)

Stage mass fraction, μ , is defined as

$$\mu = \frac{W_{\mathbf{p}}}{W_{\mathbf{q}} - W_{\mathbf{p}}} \qquad (3-59)$$

Rocket motor mass fraction, \(\lambda\), is defined as

$$\lambda = \frac{W_{p}}{W_{o} - W_{int} - W_{PL}} . \qquad (3-60)$$

The delivered specific impulse, I_{sp_d} , is computed from

$$I_{sp_d} = \frac{C_f}{C_D} \qquad (3-61)$$

The predicted ideal velocity increment produced by the stage, neglecting drag and gravity losses, is computed from

$$\Delta V_{I} = I_{sp_{d}} g_{c} \ln \frac{W_{o}}{W_{bo}}$$
 (3-62)

Thrust-to-weight ratios at ignition, Aign, and burnout, Abo, are computed from Equations 3-63 and 3-64, respectively:

$$A_{ign} = F/W_{\bar{0}}$$
 (3-63)

$$A_{bo} = F/W_{bo} (3-64)$$

This completes the description of the rocket motor design analysis as it is programmed for the digital computer. The program is formulated in such a manner that parametric tradeoff analyses of pertinent design variables may be readily accomplished by stacking input cases back-to-back. Moreover, an automatic variable adjusting procedure, which would determine the required value of a specific

independent variables (e.g., motor diameter, chamber pressure, propellant web fraction, etc.) to produce a desired motor performance or design characteristic (e.g., mass fraction, motor length, or velocity increment) may be easily incorporated into the program if desired.

4. PROGRAM APPLICATION

4.1 METHODOLOGY

The computer program described herein may be utilized to generate both point and optimized preliminary designs of solid propellant rocket motors through the proper selection and manipulation of appropriate input variables. Typical design problems and corresponding methods for using the program to solve these problems are presented in Table 4-1. The computer program contains no automated variable optimization scheme. Therefore, the optimization of pertinent design variables must be accomplished using "brute force" techniques wherein graphs are plotted of computed performance and/or weight characteristics which result from manual perturbation of the variables of interest. Optimum values of design variables which yield either maximum performance or minimum weight characteristics are then selected from these graphs. For example, Problem 1 of Table 4-1 illustrates the technique which would be employed to establish the optimum chamber pressure for a minimum weight design, assuming all other input variables are fixed.

Problem 2a of Table 4-1 illustrates how the designer would determine the optimum motor diameter and corresponding chamber pressure which would be required to provide a given motor length. Similarly, Problem 2b illustrates the technique which would be used to determine the optimum motor length and corresponding chamber pressure which would yield a given motor diameter. The latter two examples are frequently encountered in the design of tactical and strategic missiles which must be contained within fixed envelope dimensions.

Problem 3 illustrates how the program would be employed to evaluate the variation of stage impulsive velocity with motor diameter, expansion ratio and payload weight.

TABLE 4-1. PROGRAM APPLICATION TO TYPICAL DESIGN PROBLEMS

PEOBLEM SOLUTION	Select optimum Pc from plot, rerun point design case with selected Pc.	1. From ① select values of Dm' which yield Lm'; plot ② 2. From ② select optimum Dm'.	1. From () select values of Lm' which yield Dm'; plot (). 2. From () select optimum Lm'.	Select optimum Om and corresponding optimum c for each MpL.	Select optimum number of stages, optimum propel- lant weight distribution, optimum stage diameters, chamber pressures.
DATA USAGE	, w _o	L'm Lm - INCREASING Pc Wo Lm - Lm Cm	D'm Lm Lm (3) Lm	AV Dmg INCREASING DM WAS A WAS A COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TO T	INCREASING WP. INCREA
REQUIRED COMPUTER PROGRAM MANIPULATION	Process several stacked cases with varying input values of Pc, plot computed Wo versus Pc,	Process several stacked cases with varying 0_m ; for each 0_m , run several input values of P_C . Plot walues of P_C to find values of P_C for minimum M_O and required length.	Same as 2a, except plot Dm versus computed Lm for each input Pc to find values of Lm, which yield Dm., Plot W versus Lm, to find Lm, Pc for minimum Wo, and required diameter.	For each selected payload weight, WpL, run several stacked cases with varying bm; for each Dm, input several values of exit diameter, Den. Plot computed by versus computed by versus computed by versus computed by persus computed by persus computed by persus computed by versus co	1. Using Missile Optimization Program (Appendix B), establish variation of missile gross weight, optimum propellant weight, distribution withingayload, ideal LV requirement for M stages. (Assuming stage mass fraction, Isp values) plot (Dand (2) 2. For selected AVI', Wpl', pick propellant weights W1, W2:. Mpl from (2) Compute total impulse, corresponding thrist requirement for each stage. Trequirement for each stage. 3. Perform optimization of diameter (or length) as in 2a, 2b, and of expansion ratio as in 3. 4. Repeat with other numbers of stages, select minimum weight design.
FIXED PARAMETERS	Motor diameter, grain configuration, propellant type, thrust, port-to- throat ratio, payload	Maximum allowable motor length, grain con-tiguration, propellant type, thrust, port-to-throat ratio, payload weight	Maximum allowable motor diameter, others same as 2a	Chamber pressure, thrust, grain config- uration, propellant type, port-to-throat ratio	Payload weight range, total impulsive velocity range, grain configuration, propellant type, port to the ratio, maximum allow able diameter, length
DESIGN OBJECTIVE	Find optimum chamber pressure for minimum stage weight.	Find optimum motor diameter, chamber pressure to provide a given motor length, Lm', within maximum diameter constraint.	Find optimum motor length, chamber pressure to provide a given motor diameter, Dm., within maximum length constraint	Examine variation of ideal impulsive velocity with motor diameter, expansion ratio, payload weight.	For a multistage missile, determine optimum number of stages and propellant weight distribution as a function as a function and total impulments. For selected optimized vehicle concept, determine optimum diameter, chamber pressure for each stage within envelope constraints.
PR03. NO.	_	8 2	8	m	4

Problem 4 illustrates how the sizing program described herein may be used in conjunction with a stage optimization routine to develop a near-optimum preliminary design of the propulsion components of a multistage vehicle. A typical stage optimization routine, which was computerized by Mr. J. H. Dobkins of Teledyne Brown Engineering, is described in Appendix B. Results from this routine, which is entitled the Missile Optimization Program (MOP), have been demonstrated to agree closely with those from other more elaborate analyses. Since MOP requires ideal impulsive velocity as input, the designer must estimate velocity losses which will result from drag and gravitational effects. Additionally, input values of mass fraction and specific impulse for each stage must be specified. Minimized gross vehicle weight and optimized propellant weight distributions for a prescribed number of stages are then computed using MOP for the ranges of payload weights and ideal impulsive velocities of interest. For selected point values of ideal impulsive velocity and payload weight, the designer computes corresponding values of required total impulse and thrust (for a prescribed burn time) for each stage. The sizing program may then be utilized to establish optimum diameters (or lengths), chamber pressures and expansion ratios for all of the stages as discussed previously.

Following the preliminary design of a single or multistage vehicle, the evaluation of its predicted flight performance is usually desired. The trajectory analysis which is required to evaluate flight performance is beyond the scope of this investigation. However, vehicle design characteristics, e.g., weights, mass flow rates, thrust levels, envelope dimensions, which are desired from the sizing program may be input into a trajectory simulation computer program to predict flight performance. The trajectory simulation program described in Reference 12 is typical of many applicable programs which are available.

4.2 SAMPLE PROBLEM

To demonstrate the validity of the computer program, a sample problem was processed which consisted of an analytical reproduction of the Thiokol TX-354-3 (Castor II-A) rocket motor design described in Reference 13. This motor was chosen for discussion herein because of the ready availablity of unclassified documentation describing its design and performance characteristics in detail. Other rocket motor designs described in Reference 4, e.g., Spartan, were also analyzed successfully using this program, but the classified nature of their design characteristics precluded their discussion herein.

The cross-sectional arrangement of the propellant grain in the TX-354-3 rocket motor is illustrated in Figure 4-1. The grain design is basically a cylindrical port type which is segmented by two radial slots, as shown in Figure 4-1. A propellant web fraction, F_W, of 0.76 was deduced from the published geometric characteristics of the propellant charge. The TX-354-3 nozzle design (Figure 4-2) utilizes a conical expansion geometry, a graphite throat insert, and requires no entrance structure. The nozzle is designed for operation at near-vaccum conditions. AISI 4130 steel is utilized as the structural material for the rocket motor chamber and nozzle body.

The required input parameters corresponding to the TX-354-3 rocket motor were translated into a set of motor design and performance characteristics using the computer program described herein. The computer printout of the input and output parameters of the sample problem analysis is presented in Figure 4-3. For comparison, published values (Ref. 13) of pertinent design and performance parameters of the TX-354-3 motor are included in parentheses adjacent to the corresponding computer values. Comparison of the published and computed




FIGURE 4-1. GENERAL ARRANGEMENT OF LOADED TX-354-3 ROCKET MOTOR CHAMBER




FIGURE 4-2. TX-354-3 ROCKET NOZZLE ASSEMBLY

GOCKET MOTOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN PROSPAN

	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		30.849859 (*) .050353 .050353 .060353 .060353 .060353 .060353 .060353	31.600300 (**) 742.266502 (*)	671.114556 (*) 11.67314 (17.6) 172.514374 (170) 17.665994 (*) 242.611252 (245)	494.742842 (720-Chamber Ass'y) 61.640951 12.675147 [14] 9.929559 (*) 811.369757 [1523]		3510-4333 (*)	(*) - No Comparable Date Available (**) - Input Value (K/A) - Not Applicable Numbers in parentheses represent published values for TX-354-3 rochet motor (Reference 13)
		FiscoCr.1F40.2FWOART 5FFT) 2 ISLIVISET.GT. U. FOO INPR CLIVERS) 3 OY		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					Legend: (*) - No Comparable Available (**) - Input Value (N/A) - Not Applicab NOIE: Numbers in parentheses published values for I rocket motor (Reference
0 4 4 4 4 4 4	DEW, WOZZLE FKITTO.D. (IN) MEKARSOFLE KITTSILEN OF THE TRACTION MEKARSOFLENI WE TRACTION ATT BUSH ARE COEFF ATT BUSH ARE COEFF ATT DO SECTION SWAM, HOL. WI. OF ECTION FRISTSILEN SWAM, HOL. WI. OF ECTION SWAM SWAM ATT DO STAN SWAM ATT DO STAN SWAM SWAM ATT DO STAN SWAM	FLAGIOCYL.1F4D. ISLIVISET.GT. U. 'FO	NOZZLE EXIT I.O. (IN.) NOZZLE EXIT MALL IHIOK (IN.) NOZZLE FITT AREA (YO IN.) NOZZLE EXIT PRESSJRE PSI)	MOTOR CHANGER 0.0.	HES XSECT AREA (SS.IN.)	MASS OF CYL. (TUBF.LINEY) (LBY) MASS OF IGNIFF (LBM). MASS OF IGNIFF (LBM). MASS OF FIT SYINT (LBM). TOTAL MASS OF FIT SCHOOL (LBM).	HASS OF	MASS OF PAYLOAD, WPL.	311.3 (0.31) - 1.216 (0.0060) - 226.22 (210.4) 527.0 (2211) 527.0 (2211) 547.0.13 (20.4) 547.0.13 (20.4) 547.0.13 (20.4) 547.0.13 (20.4) 547.0.13 (20.4) 547.0.13 (20.4)
* PROCRAM INPUT DATA	51-0330 644-0330 751-0330 751-0330 751-0330 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 752-1 753-		4.444927 (8.41) 37.31970 (40.0) 5625236 (55.6) 21.153469 (20.95)	30.30222 (30.72) 73590 (0.11) 78497 (*)	71-152126 (*) 1-27-313 (*) 16313-391 (10,520) 16-39-319 (*) 42-319375 (43.2)	67.9413590(*) 6.1941313 (*) 9.929359 (*) 6.000030 (W/A)		12545-1277 (*) MASS OF 4321-3598 (*) 936KET PF2F334ANGE SJ4HARY ****	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
•	D4. MD132 0.5.(14.) P. MED. 448/15 (12.5) W.L. ARLYST (12.5) W.L. ARLYST (12.5) AN GUN SATE EXPONST (1.5) AN GUN SATE EXPONST (1.5) AN GUN SATE EXPONST (1.5) AN FORT SATE EXPONST (1.5) ETHOR SATE EXPONST (1	CODE, STAGE DESIGNATION	MOZZLE THROAT I.D. (IN.) MOZZLE EXIT O.D.(IN.) MOZZLE THROAT ARA (IN.) MOZZLE ARA RATTO (AE/AT) MOZZLE ROER ANGLE (DED) MOZZLE GOVE ANGLE (DED) MOZZLE GOVE ANGLE (DED)	MOTOR CHANNER I.D. (IN.) MOTOR WALL THICK (IN.) FORMARD MEAD INICK (IN)	PORT AREA (SO IN.) PORT-JOH-FREAK 1	MASS OF 4022LE ASSY (LBM)		GROSS WASS AT STACE BURNOUT (LBM) # 1 GROSS WASS AT STACE BURNOUT (LBM) # 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	PROPELLANT JUVAINS DATE (IM./SCS) -48.2 DISCHARGE CREFICENT (194/LBF-SCS) -5. CHARAJTETISTIC VCLOSITY (FPS) -148USS/VRIGHT A IGHTTON (G) -148USS/VRIGHT A IGHTTON

FIGURE 4+3. SAMPLE PROBLEM SOLUTION

values reveals certain discrepancies which must be discussed. For example, the computer nozzle weight of 170.5 lbm is much less than the published value of 539 lbm. This large discrepancy is difficult to explain without a knowledge of the details of the original structural analysis from which the nozzle was designed. However, it may be speculated that bending stresses from predicted lateral flight loads could have dictated larger required structural thicknesses than those which resulted from the pure hoop stress equations employed in this computer program. (The credibility of the nozzle design procedures employed herein was demonstrated through the almost exact analytical reproduction of the existing Spartan booster nozzle.) The only other conceivable explanation for this discrepancy is that relatively large design safety factors (>> 1.5) could have been employed in the original design analysis of the TX-354-3 nozzle.

The only other significant discrepancies between published and computed motor design parameters are in total motor length, total inert parts weight, and mass fraction. The discrepancy between the published motor length of 245 inches and the computed value of 242.6 inches results primarily from the neglect in the computer program of the reported lengths associated with the pyrogen and nozzle attachment bosses (Figure 4-1). The discrepancy between the published total inert parts weight of 1523 lbm and the computed value of 811.4 lbm results primarily from the nozzle weight deficit (368.5 lbm), the chamber weight deficit (92 lbm), and the weight deficit caused by neglect of the additional insulation weight required by the two radial slots in the propellant. Additionally, the discrepancy between the published mass fraction of 0.84 and the computed value of 0.91 results directly from the previously described discrepancy in total inert parts weight.

Additional test cases, covering a variety of motor configurations, should be processed in the future to clearly establish the accuracy and general applicability of the computer program.

5. PROGRAM OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS

The previously described design equations were coded into a FORTRAN computer program consisting of approximately 530 cards. Multiple cases may be submitted simultaneously by stacking the input cards back-to-back. Only the input parameters which change need be added to complete each new case. The remaining input parameters always revert to the values used in the preceding case.

5.1 INPUT DATA

All program input variables are read into the array A(i), i = 1, 27. A card-by-card description of the inputs, including symbol definition and format, is presented below.

- Card 1, Format (I2)
 - ▲ Code word KTR
 - * KTR is the number of input variables (one per card) to be read following this card. At the end of the last case, input KTR as 99 to end the job.
- Cards 2 through 28, Format (I2, El5.8)
 - These 27 cards contain the 27 input variables which are read into the array A(i). On each of the 27 cards, the proper index, i, is punched into columns 1 and 2, and the corresponding element A(i) is punched into columns 3 through 17, using the format given above.
 - The 27 inputs, A(i), i = 1, 27, and the equivalent program variables are defined in Table 5-1.
- Card 29, Format (I2)
 - ▲ Code word KTR
 - A This is the same code word as described above. If another case is to follow, KTR is the number of input elements A(i) to be changed from the values used in the preceding case. If no more cases follow, KTR should be punched as 99.

TABLE 5-1. INPUT VARIABLE DEFINITION FOR CARDS 2 THROUGH 28

ELEMENT	EQUIVALENT PROGRAM VARIABLE	DEFINITION	UNITS
A(1)	DM	Rocket motor outside diameter	in.
A(2)	PC	Chamber pressure	psia
A(3)	F .	Required thrust	- F
A(4)	FW⊧	Propellant web fraction (Ratio of web thickness to propellant outside radius)	
A(5)	APT	Port-to-throat area ratio	
A(6)	PAM [:]	Ambient pressure	psia
A(7)	TC	Propellant flame temperature	°R
A(8)	RHOP	Propellant density	∃bm/in³
A(9)	GAM [‡]	Combustion gas ratio of specific heats	,, -
A(10)	ATB.	Pressure-coefficient in pro- pellant burning rate law	
A(11)	AMW	Molecular weight of combustion gas	libm/mole
A(12)	AN	Pressure exponent in propellant burning rate law	
A(13)	ETAD:	Mass discharge correction factor for nonideal flow	
A(14)	ETAV:	Exit velocity correction factor for nonideal flow	
A(15)	ALF	Nozzle exit cone half-angle (for contoured nozzle analysis, input effective value of ALF of 17.5 degrees)	deg

TABLE 5-1. INPUT VARIABLE DEFINITION FOR CARDS 2 THROUGH 28 (Continued)

ELEMENT	EQUIVALENT PROGRAM VARIABLE	<u>DEFINITION</u>	<u>UNITS</u>
A(16)	-DEM	Maximum permissible nozzle exit plane diameter	in.
A(17)	-FP	Fraction of propellant burned before web burn through:	
A(18)	.SFM:	Motor case design safety factor	
A(19)	ŞĔŊŧ	Nozzle design safety factor	
A(20)	₩ <u>P</u> L	Stage payload weight (includes terminal payload and upper stage weight, where applicable)	1bm=
-A(21)	WEX.	Miscellaneous weights to be added to stage weight (e.g., thrust vector control hardware)	1bm:
A(22)	ZĽN:	Nozzījē-length of next higher stage:	in.
A(23)	ZĿŢ	Interstage clearance between forward head of stage being designed and nozzle exit plane of next higher stage	in.
A(24)	ÇQ <u>D</u> Ē	Code designating which stage is being designed (CODE = 2.0 for terminal stage of multiple vehicle or for single-stage vehicle; CODE = 1.0 for remaining stages)	
A(25)	FLAG	Set FLAG = 0.0 when head end web(s) are not present; FLAG = 1.0 forward head web only; FLAG = 2.0 for forward and aft head webs; FLAG = 3.0 for aft head web only.	 .

TABLE 5-1. INPUT VARIABLE DEFINITION FOR CARDS 2 THROUGH 28 (Concluded)

ELEMENT	EQUIVALENT PROGRAM VARIABLE	DEFINITION	UNITS
A(-26)	NOZ	Set NOZ = 0.0 for conical nozzle analysis; NOZ = 1.0 for contoured nozzle analysis	
A(27)	ISLIV	For cases where FP < 1.0, set ISLIV = 0.0 for active slivers;	

In preparation of the program inputs, FORTRAN coding sheets are usually completed to serve as a guide in punching the appropriate values onto input cards. Example input coding sheets, including input variable definitions and typical ranges and/or recommended values of the inputs, are presented in Figure 5-1.

FIGURE 5-1. SAMPLE INPUT CODING SHEET

SA	MPLE IN	PUTS*		PROGRAM VARIABLES**	TYPICAL RANGES OR RECOMMENDED VALUES
5 6 7	10	15	20.		
2.7	ت ۔۔۔ نصاب		_ 1	KTR	Number of inputs to follow or
1+.31	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	E+102		DM	Constrained by envelope limitations
2+ 638	~ 	E+10.3.		PC	300 to 2000 psia
3+.6176		E.+105	** 1	F	Dictated by total impulse, burn time requirements
4+.76		£.+10.0.			Star wagonwheel: 0.2 to 0.5 slotted tube: 0.3 to 0.9
5+:127	I-	E+10.1.		APT -	1.1 to 3.5
6+.5	··-	E+10.0		PAM	0.0 to 14.7 psia
					
7+.6542		E+104		TC	4000 to 6600 °R
8+.0647	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	E+10.0		RHOP	0.053 to 20.07 1bm/in ³
9+ 116	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	E.+10.1	الحب	GAM	1.12 to 1.2
10+.053		_E+10.0		ATB	0.002_to=0.1
1:1:+.22	<u> </u>	E.+10.2.	ا ك تجم	AMW	25 to 29
12+.274	- 	E+10.0.		AN	0.1 to:0:9-
1.3+.1		E+10.1	أأعت	ETAD:	0.98 to 1.15 (1.02 typ.)
1.4+.97	 اب	E+10.0		ETAV	0.85 to 0.98 (0.92 typ.)
1.5+.2263	 ا	. E+10.2	ا الله	ALF	10 to 25-deg. (15 typ.)
1-6+.40		E+10.2		DFM	Constrained by envelope, expansion ratio limitations
1.7+.1	 <u> ا</u>	E.+10.0.	- -	FP	0.9 to 1.0
18+.15	1	E.+10.1		SFM	1.5
19+.15	15.	E.+10.1		SFN	1.5
20+.351) I	E+104		WPL	Dictated by mission objectives
21+.0		E+10.0		WEX	Additional miscellaneous weight (Fins, TVC Hardware, etc.)
22+.0		E+10.0		ZLN	Zero for single or upper stages; Appropriate value for lower stage
			<u></u> -	ZLI	3 - 10 in.
23+.0	l	E+10.0	<u>L</u>		**
24+.2		EHOL	ا ا	CODE	**
25+.2	<u>ئىسلىسى</u>	E+10.1.		FLAG	**
26+0		E+10.0		NOZ	**
27+0	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	E+10.0	<u></u>	ISLIV	
99			أتاب	KTR	End of job

^{*} Values listed are inputs for Sample Problem (Figure 4-3)
** Refer to page 5-1 and Table 5-1 for Definitions

5.2 STORED DATA

Most of the material physical properties which are required in the motor design are defined through the use of FORTRAN DATA statements within the main segment of the program. This procedure was adopted to reduce the number of inputs which would be required in parametric analyses once the motor case, insulation, and nozzle materials have been selected for a particular rocket motor design. Program flexibility is not impaired significantly, because various structural materials may be readily analyzed by simply modifying the appropriate property values in the previously mentioned DATA statements before program compilation. These property values are then employed by the program until further changes in the DATA statements are made.

The program variables which represent the various physical properties are well-defined in the main program listing in the Appendix by several COMMENT cards. Program variable definitions and corresponding typical property values are presented in Table 5-2.

The values shown in Table 5-2 are those incorporated in the program deck which is listed in the Appendix.

TABLE 5-2. TYPICAL MATERIAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES:
AND EQUIVALENT PROGRAM VARIABLES

	PROGRAM VARIABLE	DEFINITION	TYPICAL VALUE
1	RHOM	Motor case density	0.283 1bm/in ³
	SIGM	Allowable tensile stress	150,000 psi
Motor ¹ Case	EC	Young's modulus of case	30 (10 ⁶) psi
	EI	Young's modulus of interstage structure	30 (10 ⁶) psi
	BETA	Forward and aft head ellipse ratio	1.0 - 1.6
(RHOS	Densi:ty	0.283 1bm/in ³
Nozzle¹	SIGN	Allowable tensile stress	150,000 psi
Structure (ĒŠUBS	Young smodulus	30 (10 ⁶) psi
	MUS	Poisson's ratio	0.3
Į	CŠUB2	Specific heat	0.11 Btu/1bm-°Ř
	RHOI	Dens i t y	0.062 1bm/in ³
Nozzle ² Insulation	KSUB 1	Thermal conductivity	0.5 (10 ⁻⁵) Btu/insec~°R
-	CSUB1	Specific heat	0.21 Btu/1bm-°R
ſ	Ř ŘHOT	Density	0.062 1bm/in ³
Throat ³	ESUBT	Young!s Modulus	1.7 (10 ⁶) psi
Insert	MUT	Poisson's ratio	·Q.12
(SIGT .	Allowable tensile stress	3,355 psi
Insert ⁴ {	RHOSL	Density	0.02 1bm/in ³

NOTES:

- 1 Typical values for 4130 steel.
- ² Typical values for FM 5048 silica/phenolic.
- ³ Typical values for ATJ graphite.
- 4 Typical value for phenolic microballoon.

6. REFERENCES

- 1. Weisbord, L., "A Generalized Optimization Procedure for N-Staged Missiles", Jet Propulsion, March 1958
- 2. Stone, M.W., "Grain Design Handbook", Internal Memorandum, Rohm and Haas Company, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, October 4, 1956
- 3. Stone, M.W., "The Slotted-Tube Grain Design", Report No. S-27, Rohm and Haas Company, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, December, 1960
- 4. "Rocket Motor Manual", CPIA/M1, Chemical Propulsion Information Agency, Johns Hopkins University, Silver Spring, Maryland, May 1969
- 5. Barrere, M., et.al., Rocket Propulsion, Elsevier Publishing Company, New York, N. Y., 1960
- 6. Sutton, G.P., Rocket Propulsion Elements, John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., Second Edition, June 1958
- 7. "Program for Preliminary Design of Internal Burning Solid Propellant Rocket Motors", Thiokol Chemical Corporation, Huntsville, Alabama
- 8. Bruhn, E.F., Analysis and Design of Flight Vehicle
 Structures, Tri-State Offset Company, Cincinnati, Ohio,
 1965
- 9. Alexander, R. V., "Summary Report of Program Beta, A Digital Computer Program for High-Speed Analysis of Performance, Preliminary Design, and Optimization of Solid Rocket Vehicles", Technical Memorandum No. 176-SRP, Aerojet General Corporation, Sacramento, California
- 10. Threewit, T.R., et.al., "The Integrated Design Computer Program and ACP-1103 Interior Ballistics Computer Program", STM-180, Aerojet General Corporation, Sacramento, California, December 1964
- 11. Demuth, O.J., and M.J. Ditore, "Graphical Methods for Selection of Nozzle Contours", presented at the Solid Propellant Rocket Research Conference, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J. 28-29 January 1960

- Boehn, B. W., Rand's Ommibus Calculator of the Kinematics of Earth Trajectories, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Clifts, N. J., 1964
- 13. "Technical Description of the TX-354-3 Rocket Motor,"
 Report No. 57A-66, Thiokol Chemical Corporation,
 Huntsville, Alabama, April 7, 1967

APPENDIX A FORTRAN LISTING OF SIZING PROGRAM

A complete listing of the FORTRAN statements is furnished in this appendix as a reference for making any desired changes to the source deck of the solid rocket motor sizing program. COC 64J0 FTN V3.0-P336 OPT=1 32/62/73 12-13-01. PROGRAP MAIN(INDUT,OUTPUT,1#EE5=INPUT,1APE6=OUTPUT)

ROCKT HOTOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN PROGRAP --J L THURMAN

PROG 55-623

REAL MCZ.LC.MC, MODILAM ISP "MULLFW.LAM.LM,LM,MUT,MUS,MSUBIT/SLIV

***OTHENSION AKSO, ERP(13), FDV.CAM.LM,LM,LM,MUT,MUS,MSUBIT/SLIV

***OTHENSION AKSO, MAGO, MODILAM, MAGO, MADILAM, MAGO, MADILAM,LM,MAGO, MAGO, MADILAM,LM,MAGO, MAGO, MADILAM,LM,MAGO, MAGO, M HOTOR CASE PROPERIIES (4.340STEEL)
HOTOR CASE PROPERIIES (1.340STEEL)
EL-YOUNGS HOD, OF INTERSIAGE
TAYONGS HOD, OF INTERSIAGE
TAIN RHYHY, 2037, SIGH/I, 50E+05/, EC/3,0E+07/, BETA/I, 1/, EI/3,0E+37/
TATA RHYHY, 2037, SIGH/I, 50E+05/, EC/3,0E+017/, BETA/I, 1/, EI/3,0E+37/ WOZZLE STRUCTURE PRUPERTIEST#340 STEEL) PROFOGNESTITY, SIGN=TELLC STR'IFESUBS-YOUNGS MOD., MUS-POISSON RATIO CSUB2-SPECIFIC HED ORTH RHOSS,2717, SIGN/1,52E+05/,ESUBS:3.3E+37/HUS/*37,5SUB2/3.111/ INERT SLIVER DEWSITY (ZEUS PHEMCLIC MICROBALLOOM)
AND REMANDACION.
DIOMEDIEM. OF IGNITIOR OFFENING.IN.
DARA DIGM. 1.0.
DARA DICH. 1.0.
DARA CYCON. 1.255.1.355.1.455.1.518.1.6511.6511.6511.751.1.7551.411.055/ C MOZZLE INFORT 19SERT PROPEKTIES (SILICA/PHENOLIC FM-53-8)
C MOZZLE INFORT 19SERT PROPEKTIES (SILICA/PHENOLIC FM-53-8)
C RHOT-DEMSITY-ESUBT-YOUNGS HCG., PUT-FOISSON MAILO, SIGT-YEILD STR. NOZZLE INSULATION PROPERTIES(SILICA/PHENOLIC,FW-5748) RHOI-DEWSITY,KSU61-COMDUCTIVITY(P/IN SEC F),CSU81-SPECIFIC HEAT DATA PPOI/3:1627,KSU91/3:5E-657,CSU81/C.21/ CALL FXIT FEDOMINICIPALDS (I.ALL) KKFL,KTR) FORMATICIPALS (B) SET CONSTANTS 1 A(1)=C 2 READ(KIN,171) KTR 1C1 FORMAT(4C12) IF(KTR-99)4,3,3 I=1,53 102 υU 0000 000 00 0 0000 PROGRAP 10 15 S \$2 20 35 3 \$ 53 22

PAGE

PAGE

A-3

PROGRAP	MAIN CDC 6463 FTN V3.0-P336 OPT#1 U2/v2/73 12.13.61.	PAGE	n
	IF (OE0-0EM)13,13,12 12 PE#PE*1.01		
;	13 ACDP1/4, 0050*02		ì
.13	AGENCIA (ROWRHOP)		,
	Articol Artico		
.20	AS=(AF=AP)*(1,+FP) ROP=0F/2TAUM		
	= -		1
	C FWD AND/OR AFT HEAD WEBSICCHSIGERED WHEN KFLAG.OT.U C IF KFLAG =CIND FWD OR AFT: FEAD WEBS-=1.8FWD HEAD WEB: ONLY+=2.1FWD		
.25			
	14 AFMAVED.		
ş			
7			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	200 AFHAV=0.		
			;
.35	201 IF(DICN=DF)17,16,16 16 MRITE(KOUL,94C)		
	AFMAVIC.		
04		,	*****
		;	
54	FCC= (ASEN * 2-85EN * 2-85EN * 2-85EN * 2-85E) * AFRAVED (1.4. + ECC) / (1.4. + ECC)) - AIGN		ŀ
		1	
	202 AA=OF/(2.*9ETA) VOLA=PT-OFTA=*2* (AA**2=LAH*=1AH*=3/3.)-PT*ROD**2*LAH		
.50	VOLABEPILANE (OF/2.) **2-ROP**2)		
	VEATURE OF THE PARTY AND ALTER OF THE PARTY A		
25	18 VPFHzAFHAV*TAUM VPHzVPFH+VPAH	1	
	WPFH#VPFH*RHOP WPAH*RHOP		
99			
	VPGK1KUU486/FP		
	TO ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF	*	
59			

PROGRAP	HAIN HAIN 43.0-9336 OPT=1 02/02/73 12-13-01. PAGE 6	
	IST RHOSVERHOP VP2VPSC+PH	
170	122 VOTO 225 122 VOTO 225 VOTO 225	,
175	CALC NT OF INER PARTS CALC NT OF INER PARTS CALC INFORMATIONSIGN, PRICH, TB, CD, AT, LC, AP, DCT, TAULC, 10EI, 10F, 10F, 00F, 00F, 00F, 00F, 00F, 00F	
1.60	ZHW.NC,FM.NICH;TAUM, AB, NAFI, MFS, MKRI ROP, SICM, RHOI, PUI, JESUBI, SIGI, HUS, RHOS, ESUBS, CSUEZ, RHOI, KSUBI, CSUBI, LN, TC, WEXK KHOZ L	
	126 MIGONIF * MPL 126 MIGHNE * MPL 127 MIGONIF * MPL	
185	MOEMGO+ NP MUS MP/(NO- MPL) OELVESP *GC*ALGG(WO/MBO)	
190	ABOJETVAGO LEWELFALCALNILAN WRITE(KOUI,952) WRITE(KOUI,952) UT,0EE,0EG,1AUWE	
195	MAITE (KOUT, 954 JOEL 25A F. JAEL FEETS AND JAEL JAEL JAEL JAEL JAEL JAEL JAEL JAEL	
200	N. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	
\$.0 .0	ARTICHOUS AND THE DEFENDENCE INCOME, PRELIMINARY DESIGN PROGRAM 106 FORMATISHOUS AND SAN	
0 10	5. PEG. TPRUST (CBF) 5. PEG. TPRUST (CBF) 5. STALLAND WAS THE TABLE TO THE TABLE TO THE TABLE TO THE TABLE T	
215	2 . FIRST, 12x, 36HGAM, RATIC OF SPECIFIC HEATS FIRST, V FIRST, V	
220	110 FORMATI	

```
PAGE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             COC 6433 FIN V3.0-P336 OPT=1 02/32/73 12-13-01.
CDC 6403 FTN V3.C-P336 OPT#1 02/32/73 12:13:01.
                                15E DESIGN SAFETY FACTOR . F F12.2,12x,
23645741004. FF10104. FF12.4,12x
15T-00 DISCURFE COCA-6CTION FACTOR FF12.4,12x
15T-00 DISCURFE COCA-6CTION FACTOR FF12.4,12x
15T-00 DISCURFE COCA-6CTION FACTOR FF12.4,12x
15T-00-01 DISCURFE COCA-6CTION FF12.4,12x
1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    MIVA
  PROGRAP
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PROCRA
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        273
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               275
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         235
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             240
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 245
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     250
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         255
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                250
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    565
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               280
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   282
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       262
                                                                                                                                              222
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     230
```

IA

OPT=1 81/29/73 18,16,31 SUBMOUTINE INERTIFIC,SFM,SFM,BETA,DM,SIGH,RHOM,TB,CD,AT,LC,AP,DCI,
LTAUC,CEI,OT,ALF,DCO,OTO,WPL,F,KODE,CC,EE,ZLM,ZL,TAMMH,FI,FFS,WP,
ZMIP,MM,MG,MM,MIGN,TAUH,AB,MAT,MFS,MAS,WIMI,ROP,
SIGN,RHOT,HUT,
SESUBT,SIGT,MUS, RHOS,ESUBS,CSUBS,RHOI,KSUBI,CSUBI,LN,TG,WEX,KNOZ, C GATER BOSS

C NOZZLE Call Nozz(hw, lm, Rop ,PDm, OT, OEO, SIGM, RHOS, TAUMM, RHOT, MUT, ESUBT, 1ESUBS, MUS, ALF, SIGT, TB, TG, CSUB1, KSUB1, RHOI, CSUB2, DELIT, OEI, KNOZ) METURANE Parijad. 25°POH*OH*OETA*RHOH/SICH Hafts*farij*(DH**2°(0.7854*0.393/(DETA**2*Fact)*Paril)-2.*At) 7600 FTH V2.8 CY=40 WOSS WAFTBX10.26FPDHFDHF22FBETAFRHOY/SIGN REAL LC.LHO.LMI.HUI.HUS.LM.KSUBI RHOLH G.G. HINHEG.B PONEPCESFN STRUCTURE NGS:RHOM*PI/4.*(ON**2-DCI**2)*LC LINER WCL*PI*DCI*LC*TAULC*RHOL IGNITER WIGN=10. > EXP(0.9E-84.AB) INEXT MEIGHT SUBTOTAL FORMARD HEAD ASSEMBLY WHENNS-WHINS-WHINE CYLINDER HC=MCS-WCL INSULATION WAFTI=WHI*2.96/1.93 FORWARD HEAD Henbrane SUBSOUTINE IMERT **0**00 U υ u ပေဖပ္ ပ ပပ္ပ U Ų ပပပ ŲŲ ń 8 2 2 2 \$ 8 9 5 8 52

SUBGROUTINE THERT

C AFT HELD'SSSHBLY

C AFT HELD'SSSHBLY

G SUBOTAL

G SUBOT

OPT=1 81/29/73 18.18.31 | MCZO 346 MOZO 328 MOZO 228 2,4 ZEMUZ)

REAL XSUBT.WIS.MUT.LN.KSUBL

OIMMSION FACLIGI, EXILGI.FPE(18), ECONT(18)

OIMMSION FACLIGI, EXILGI.FPE(18), ECONT(18)

OIMMSION FACLIGI, EXILGI.FPE(18), ECONT(18)

OIMMSION FACLIGI, EXILGI.FPE(18), EXILGI.FPE(18)

OIMMSION FACLI.FPE(18), EXILGI.FPE(18), EXILGI.F GO TO 898
MSUS % SORT(RROP -ROI) * RITA*CSK SIN(BETAR)
MSU * PITA*CSK SETAR)*HSUS*TIN*RHOI
MES * PITA*CROP +(TIN*TSUBE/IMO)*COSK BETAR)*ROI]*HSUBS*TSUBE* SUBGOUTIME MOZZ (MM.LM.ROP.PDM.BT.DEO.SIGM.RHOS.TAUMM.RHOT.NUT. 1ESUBT.ESUBS.HUS.ALF.SIGT.TB.TC.CSUBL.KSUBL.RHOI.GSUBZ.DELT.ODI. ZKNOZ) 1 TSUBE_FAUNK

2.CALL_TRUCK_TIM.TB.#NGS.CSUB1.KSUBS.#NHI.CSUBS.TC.DELTT)

ROT = RSUBT-KSUBT-RSUBT-(ONE-COSCALFR.)

RAT = RSUBT-KSUBT-RSUBT-(ONE-COSCALFR.)

IF NROP-ROT) 888.889

868 ME.=8

MSUBS-8. 7688 FTH V2.8 CY:48 896 HTI SUBROUTINE MOZZ = 2 2 2 5 ; 3 52 3 5 ş

7688 FIN V2.8 CY=48 OFT=1 81/29/73 10.18.31 SUBROUTINE MOZZ

A-10

PACE 7608 FTM Y2.8 CYm48 OPT=1 81/29/73 19.18.31 INSL 110 INSL 110 **PI/ INSL 140 INSL 170 INSL 100 INSL 200 INSL 240 INSL 258 INSL 268 INSL 318 INSL 328 SUBGOUTINE INSUL (THEM, THICK, TB, RHOS, CSUBI, KSUBI, RHOI, CSUBE, TC,

AEGL (17)

AEGL SUBROUTINE INSUL

76.5 FTW V2.3 CY#43 APT=1 01/29/73 14.39.55 SUNROUTINE MACHIT.ZM.ZT.AP.AHOK.COUT)
THE OTHERSTONAL MOZZLE AVALYSIS. JL THURMAN
13 FOOMATICSH MACM GOULD WOT COMMERCE/AH APFEIS.A.AH XMHEIS.A.SH XWA
LTEIS.A)
FAMMERCELL
TAMMERCELL
TAMME SURPOUTIVE YACH 65 - **L** ຊ 52 ñ

PAGE SFTF1 01/29/73 30-18-32 7618 FTH V2.8 CY=48 OPT=1 81/29/73 18.18.31 C TATAL THE THOUSE WATABLE FOR THE DESTRED INTR & B TATAL THE CF THE CHES. HUST BE IN INTR & B TATAL THE CF THE CF THE CHES.

2 * YIM TABLE OF DEPENDENT VALUES.

3 * YIM TABLE OF DEPENDENT VALUES.

5 * NPTS HUNBER OF POINTS USED IN THE INTERPOLATINGINTR BB THE DEPENDENT VALUES.

5 * NPTS HUNBER OF POINTS USED. INTERPOLATINGINTR BB THE DEPENDENT VALUES.

6 * ANS THE DEPENDENT VALUES.

6 * ANS THE DEPENDENT VALUES.

7 * WERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138

** NERR HILL BE SET UNEQUAL ZERO IF ARG IS NOT ON INTR 138 7509: FTN: VZ+G CY=63 IF (I-J)100-118-100
110 P = P = (ARG - XTAB(I)) / (XTAB(J) - XTAG(I))
110 CONTXUE
120 ANS = ANS + YTAB(J) • P
999 RETURN I K KYTAB(I) - AKG)38,20,18
18 IM E C
12 NERR # 0
13 NERR # 2
13 NERR # 2
14 O T0 70
28 ANS # YAB(I)
20 T0 999 G0 T0 999 36 L = HX IF (XTABIL) - ARC) 135,28,58 58 L = IH + 1 IF (XTABIL) - ARC) 135,28,58 IF (XTABIL) - ARC) 135,28,58 52 D0 54 I=IS, NX, NS IF (XTABIL) - ARC) 54,28,58 11 (X MB (1) - MX (1) 24 (2) 26 (2) 26 (2) 26 (2) 27 (2) 26 (2) 27 (2) 26 (2) 27 (2) 2 SUBSOUTINE ITERP SUBROUTINE ITERP 000000000000000000 9 . 2 2 **.** Sp. ş 8 5 2

A-13

APPENDIX B

DESCRIPTION OF MISSILE OPTIMIZATION PROGRAM (MOP)

B. 1 ANALYSIS

The following analysis* is based primarily on the work of Weisbord (Ref. 1) with modifications to simplify automation and non-tional changes to fit current usage.

The ideal impulsive velocity, ΔV_I , achievable for any rocked with constant specific impulse for each stage is given in Equation 1.

$$\Delta V = C_1 \ln \frac{W_{O_1}}{W_{bO_1}} + C_2 \ln \frac{W_{O_2}}{W_{bO_2}} \dots$$
 (1)

where

Woi - gross start weight for the ith stage, lbm

Wboi - burnout gross weight for the ith stage, 1bm

C₁ - g_CIsp, ft/sec

For any given mission, ΔV_{I} can be estimated from Equation 2.

$$\Delta V_{I} = \Delta V_{act} + \int_{o}^{t_{b}} g \sin \theta dt + \Delta V_{D}$$
 (2)

^{*} The Missile Optimization Program was developed by Mr. J. H. Dobkins of Teledyne Brown Engineering.

where

ΔVact - actual impulsive velocity, ft/sec

θ - angle of velocity vector above local horizon, rad

ΔV_D - drag losses, ft/sec

t - time, sec

th - burn time, sec

For large missiles drag losses usually amount to less than 5 percent and are not considered important for preliminary analyses. However, for smaller, high-velocity vehicles this factor becomes more important and must be estimated.

The gravity loss term is zero for horizontal launch and is (gt) to a vertical launch. Thus it is strongly trajectory dependent and some approximation between the limits must be made.

Each stage consists of motors, propellant tanks, miscellaneous hardware, guidance, etc. The mass fraction $(XM\overline{F_i})$ and structure fraction (XMS_i) of stage i are defined in Equations 3 and 4.

$$XMF_{i} = W_{p_{i}}/(W_{o_{i}} - W_{PL_{i}})$$
(3)

$$XMS_{i} = W_{S_{i}}/(W_{O_{i}} - W_{PL_{i}})$$
 (4)

where

Wp; - weight of propellant, lbm

Ws; - weight of stage - empty, lbm

W_{PLi} - weight of stage payload (upper stages plus payload), lbm.

Mass fraction data can be estimated from past experience. Structural fraction is calculated within the program from the mass fraction and thus need not be input.

The burnout weight of any stage may be written in the form of Equation 5.

$$W_{bo_i} = W_{o_{i+1}} + XMS_i (W_{o_i} - W_{o_{i+1}})$$

$$= XMS_i W_{o_i} + (1 - XMS_i) W_{o_{i+1}}$$
(5)

and Equation 1 becomes

$$\Delta V_{I} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i} \ln \frac{W_{o_{i}}}{XMS_{i} W_{o_{i}} + (1 - XMS_{i}) W_{o_{i+1}}}$$
 (6a)

or

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i} \ln \left[\frac{W_{o_{i}}}{XMS_{i} W_{o_{i}} + (1 - XMS_{i}) W_{o_{i+1}}} \right] - \Delta V_{I}$$
 (6b)

The problem requires that $W_{0_{\hat{1}}}$ be minimized for a given $\Delta V_{\hat{1}}$. Therefore, the $W_{0_{\hat{1}}}$ become variables and the maximum or minimum take-off weight is achieved when

$$\frac{\partial W_{O_1}}{\partial W_{O_i}} = O_{i=2, N} \tag{7}$$

Since it is difficult to explicitly write these differentials, note that Equation 6 is of the form

$$f(W_{O_i}) = 0 . (8)$$

and the partials can be written

$$\frac{\partial W_{O_1}}{\partial W_{O_i}} = -\frac{\partial f/\partial W_{O_i}}{\partial f/\partial W_{O_1}} \tag{9}$$

from the chain rule for differentiation. Equation 9 implies that if Equation 7 is to be satisfied then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial W_{o_i}} = 0 = \frac{\partial W_{o_i}}{\partial W_{o_i}}$$
 (10)

must also be satisfied. The process yields for i = 2, 3

$$0 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial W_{O_2}} = -\frac{C_1 (1 - XMS_1)}{XMS_1 W_{O_1} + (1 - XMS_1) W_{O_2}} + \frac{C_2}{W_{O_2}} - \frac{C_2 XMS_2}{XMS_2 W_{O_2} + (1 - XMS_2) W_{O_3}}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial W_{03}} = -\frac{C_2 (1 - XMS_2)}{XMS_2 W_{02} + (1 - XMS_2)} + \frac{C_3}{\bar{W}_{03}} - \frac{C_3 XMS_3}{XMS_3 W_{03} + (1 - XMS_3) W_{04}}$$
(11)

This can be algebraically manipulated to become

$$\frac{W_{o_3}}{W_{o_2}} = \frac{C_1 \times MS_2 (1 - \times MS_1)}{(1 - \times MS_1) (1 - \times MS_2) (C_2 - C_1) + C_2 \times MS_1 (W_{o_1}/W_{o_2}) (1 - \times MS_2)}$$
(12)

$$\frac{W_{O_4}}{W_{O_3}} = \frac{C_2 \times MS_3 (1 - XMS_2)}{(1 - XMS_2) (1 - XMS_3) (C_3 - C_2) + C_3 \times MS_2 (W_{O_2}/W_{O_3}) (1 - XMS_3)}$$

and can be generally stated as

$$\frac{W_{o_{i+1}}}{W_{o_{i}}} = \frac{C_{i-1} \times MS_{i} [1 - \times MS_{i} (i - 1)]}{(1 - \times MS_{i-1}) (1 - \times MS_{i}) (C_{i} - C_{i-1}) + C_{i} \times MS_{i-1} (W_{o_{i-1}}/W_{o_{i}}) (1 - \times MS_{i})}$$

$$i = 2, N$$
(13)

Note that difficulties will occur when i=1. An iterative process with an estimated W_{O_2}/W_{O_1} ratio is required until the required ΔV_I is available.

It has not been shown in the previous discussion that the resulting data will represent a minimum weight vehicle (rather than maximum). A simple test is to try any other weight ratio between stages that produces the same performance and compare the resulting total vehicle weight, W_{0_1} . Other weight ratios invariably produce greater values of W_{0_2} , thus proving that stage weight ratios determined by the previously described procedures represent a minimum W_{0_1} .

The terminal payload weight is finally used to calculate the $W_{\text{O}_{\hat{1}}}$ for the terminal stage. The vehicle is then specified totally from the following equations.

$$W_{st_i} = W_{o_{i+1}}/(W_{o_{i+1}}/W_{o_i}) - W_{o_{i+1}}$$
 (14)

$$W_{p_i} = W_{st_i} XMF_i$$
 (15)

$$W_{s_i} = W_{st_i} - W_{p_i}$$
 (16)

$$W_{bo_i} = W_{o_i} + W_{s_i}$$
 (17)

$$\Delta V_{I_i} = C_i \ln W_{o_i} / (W_{o_i} - W_{p_i})$$
 (18)

where Wst; is the total weight of stage i.

.

B. 2 PROGRAM APPLICATION

The Missile Optimization Program (MOP) will determine the optimum weight distribution between stages for up to nine stages. If for some reason not enough stages were input, the system will assume extra stages with parameters equal to the top stage until it is possible to build the system. Conversely, when the number of stages is too great the last stage is ignored until the system is feasible. This does not mean however, that the number of stages has been optimized.

The input data required is given in Table B-1. Provision has been made to study a spectrum of velocities and payloads and obtain vehicles optimized for each combination. Appendix C contains a FORTRAN listing of the program.

TABLE B-1. INPUT DESCRIPTION FOR MOP

TAIDUT			
INPUT CARD			
TYPE	VARIABLE	FORMAT	DEFINITION
1	DV	F10.2	Ideal Velocity, ft/sec
	WPL	F10.2	Payload, 1bm
	N	12	Number of stages, 9 max.
	LAST	12	Last case O No 1 Yes
2 (N cards)	XISP(I)	F10.2	Specific Impulse, sec
	XMF(I)	F10.2	Mass Fraction
3	VST	F10.2	Velocity Step Desired
	WST	F10.2	Weight Step Desired
	JVS	12	Number of Velocity Steps Desired
	JWST	I 2	Number of Weight Steps Desired

APPENDIX C

FORTRAN LISTING OF MISSILE OPTIMIZATION PROGRAM (MOP)

A complete listing of the FORTRAN statements is furnished in this appendix as a reference for making any desired changes to the source deck of the missile optimization program (MOP).

PAGE .CDC.6400.FIN:V3.0-0251:00fs1 10/18/72 14.58.19. PROGRAM MAIMINPUT, OUTPUT, TAPEZ=INPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPEZ=TOPUT, TAPET, DENO CENTERS HAIL 103 50 60 61 61 5,3 PROGRAM 12 20 \$2 6 35 6 \$ 5

1_

C-2

PAGE COC 640J FIN V3.3-P261 03F#1 13/18/72 14.58.19. XYSP(N) = XYSP(N-1)

XYSP(N) = XYSP(N-1)

5 VRICE

5 VRICE

1 VRIC DEMO CENTERS 5 8 8 8 9 58 70 2 8

7 LA 7

PROCER

1

C-3